		•	
1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California STEVE DIEHL		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL C. BRUMMEL		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 236116		
5	California Department of Justice 2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090		
6	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 705-2307		
7	Facsimile: (559) 445-5106 Attorneys for Complainant		
8			
9	BEFOR MEDICAL BOARD		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CO	ONSUMER AFFAIRS	
11	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke	Case No. 800-2021-083489	
13	Probation Against:		
14	DENNY MARK SCHOCH, M.D. 618 Colville St.	DEFAULT DECISION	
15	Chattanooga, TN 37405-2803	AND ORDER	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
17	One.	·	
18			
19	FINDINGS OF FACT		
20	1. On or about February 7, 2022, Complainant William Prasifka, in his official capacity		
21	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer		
22	Affairs, filed Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2021-083489 against Denny Mark Schoch,		
23	M.D. (Respondent) before the Board.		
24	2. On or about April 26, 2006, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate		
25	No. A 95138 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and		
26	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and entered into delinquent status on		
27	December 31, 2021. A true and correct copy of Respondent's Certificate of Licensure is attached		
28	as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference	e.	
		1	
	(DENNY MARK SCHOCH, M.D.	DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER (800-2021-083489)	

- 3. On or about February 7, 2022, Erika Calderon, an employee of the Complainant Agency, served by Certified Mail a copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2021-083489, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 618 Colville St., Chattanooga, TN 37405-2803. The Petition documents were delivered by the U.S. Postal Service on February 12, 2022. A copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation, the related documents, Declaration of Service, and U.S. Postal Service tracking information are attached as **Exhibit B**, and are incorporated herein by reference.
- 4. On or about February 24, 2022, Veronica Pampenelli and Gabriel Vallejo, employees of the Department of Justice, served by U.S. and Certified Mail a Courtesy Notice of Default, with copies of the Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2021-083489, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7, to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 618 Colville St., Chattanooga, TN 37405-2803. The Courtesy Notice of Default documents were delivered by the U.S. Postal Service on March 4, 2022. A copy of the Courtesy Notice of Default, the related documents, Declaration of Service, and U.S. Postal Service tracking information are attached as **Exhibit C**, and are incorporated herein by reference.
- 5. Service of the Petition to Revoke Probation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Petition to Revoke Probation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2021-083489.

- 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the

hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

- 8. **Exhibit D**, attached and incorporated herein by reference, is a Declaration of Deputy Attorney General Michael C. Brummel, which established that no Notice of Defense was received by the Board or the Attorney General's office, and further that each exhibit in the Default Decision Evidence Packet is a true and correct copy of the original.
- 9. **Exhibit E**, attached and incorporated herein by reference, is a Declaration of Christina Valencia, which established that Respondent was on probation with the Board and committed numerous violations of the conditions and terms of his probation.
- 10. Exhibit F, attached and incorporated herein by reference, is a Certification of Prosecution Costs Declaration of Michael C. Brummel, which established the total costs of prosecution by the Department of Justice incurred by the Medical Board of California in this case as \$8,465.00 (eight thousand four hundred sixty-five dollars).
- 11. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, and F, finds that the allegations in Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2021-083489 are true.

### **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Denny Mark Schoch, M.D. has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138 to discipline.
- 2. A copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation and the related documents, Declaration of Service, and Certification of Prosecution Costs are attached.
  - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. The Medical Board of California is authorized to revoke Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate based upon the following violations alleged in the Petition to Revoke Probation:
  - a. Non-practice while on probation;

1	
1	b. Failure to submit timely quarterly declarations.
2	<u>ORDER</u>
3	IT IS SO ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138, heretofore
4	issued to Respondent Denny Mark Schoch, M.D., is revoked.
5	Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and
6	enforcement, in the amount of \$8,465.00 (eight thousand four hundred sixty-five dollars) prior to
7	issuance of a new or reinstated license.
8	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
9	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on
10	within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its
11	discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in
12	the statute.  JUN 0 3 2022
13	This Decision shall become effective on
14	It is so ORDERED MAY 0 \$ 2022
15	It is so ORDERED
16	De A DI
17	William Prasifta/Executive Director
18	For the Medical Board Of California  Department Of Consumer Affairs
19	Department Or Consumer Arrains
20	
21	FR2021305844
22	36006768.docx
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4

		•
1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California STEVE DIEHL	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL C. BRUMMEL	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 236116	•
5	California Department of Justice 2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090	
6	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 705-2307	
7	Facsimile: (559) 445-5106 E-mail: Michael.Brummel@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFOR	ЕТНЕ
10	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
11	DEPARTMENT OF CO	•
12		
13	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke	Case No. 800-2021-083489
14	Probation Against:	
15	DENNY MARK SCHOCH, M.D. 618 Colville St.	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION
16	Chattanooga, TN 37405-2803	
17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138	
18	Respondent.	
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
20	Complainant alleges:	
21	PARTIES	
22	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in hi	
23	official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board),	
24	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
25	2. On or about April 26, 2006, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	
26	No. A 95138 to Denny Mark Schoch, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's	
27	Certificate was in effect at all times relevant to the	e charges brought herein and expired on
28	December 31, 2021, and has not been renewed.	
		1

3. In a disciplinary action titled *In the Matter of Accusation Against Denny Mark Schoch, M.D.*, Case No. 800-2014-005107, the Medical Board of California, issued a decision, effective August 31, 2018, in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138 was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed on probation for three (3) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - 5. Section 125.3 of the Code states:
  - (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
  - (b) In the case of a disciplined licensee that is a corporation or a partnership, the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.
  - (c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.
  - (d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to costs shall not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).
  - (e) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee to pay costs.
  - (f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.

(g) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.
(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.
(h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.
(i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.
(j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in that board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative disciplinary proceeding.
"
6. Section 2227 of the Code states:
(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of

### **PROBATION CASE**

- 7. On or about April 28, 2017, an Accusation was filed against Respondent *In the Matter of the Accusation Against Denny Mark Schoch, M.D.*, Case No. 800-2014-005107, alleging that Respondent was subject to disciplinary action under Code Sections 2234, subdivision (c), relating to the care and treatment of multiple patients.
- 8. On or about May 23, 2018, Respondent signed a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order in Case No. 800-2014-005107, in which he agreed that "if the board ever petitions for revocation of probation, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2014-005107 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of that proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California."
- 9. On or about July 24, 2018, the Board issued its Decision and Order in the case entitled *In the Matter of the Accusation Against Denny Mark Schoch, M.D.*, Case No. 800-2014-005107. That Decision, which became effective August 31, 2018, revoked Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138; however, the revocation was stayed and he was placed on probation for three years, requiring completion of specified educational coursework, and ordering that he abide by all terms and conditions of his probation. That decision is now final, and a copy of the decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference herein.
- 10. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition No. 8 titled NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION, stated:
- "Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

### FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

### (Non-Practice While on Probation)

13. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 8, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:

### <u>2019</u>

- 14. On or about January 18, 2019, Respondent wrote the probation department to advise them that he was hired for a short term locum tenens assignment at Regional One Health Medical Center in Tennessee for one month. Respondent later added that the assignment could be extended through to the end of February 2019, and that he planned to reside in Tennessee thereafter. Respondent added that he was working with the Tennessee Board of Medical Examiners to be placed on a reciprocal probation.
- 15. On or about February 28, 2019, Respondent's locum tenens assignment at Regional One Health Medical Center in Tennessee came to an end.
- 16. On or about March 19, 2019, the Tennessee Board of Medical Examiners placed Respondent's Tennessee medical license No. 55125 on probation, for a minimum of two years, and until his probation before the California Board has been lifted.
- 17. On or about April 4, 2019, Respondent submitted his first quarter declaration of 2019. Respondent identified the location worked as Regional One Health Medical Center (located in Tennessee), and indicated that he worked 40 hours per quarter. Respondent left the box for the work schedule blank.
- 18. On or about July 9, 2019, Respondent submitted his second quarter declaration of 2019. Respondent identified the location worked as Regional One Health Medical Center, and left the box "hours worked" blank. In the box for the work schedule, Respondent wrote "was 5 days/week/call each + every day," despite admitting in the comments section that his assignment at Regional One Health Medical Center had ended.
- 19. On or about October 10, 2019, Respondent submitted his third quarter declaration of 2019. Respondent identified the location worked as Regional One Health Medical Center, and

 left the box for "hours worked" blank. In the box for the work schedule, Respondent wrote, "call 4-7 days per week," despite admitting in the comments section that his assignment at Regional One Health Medical Center had ended.

20. On or about December 31, 2019, Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138 expired.

### 

- 21. On or about January 9, 2020, Respondent submitted his fourth quarter declaration of 2019. Respondent identified the location worked as Regional One Health Medical Center, and left the box "hours worked" blank. In the box for the work schedule, Respondent wrote, "was call 4-7 days per week," but also added that his assignment at Regional One Health Medical Center had ended.
- 22. On or about April 8, 2020, Respondent submitted his first quarter declaration of 2020. Respondent identified the location worked as Regional One Health Medical Center, and left the box "hours worked" blank. In the box for the work schedule, Respondent wrote, "call 4-7 days per week," but also added that his assignment at Regional One Health Medical Center had ended.
- 23. On or about July 14, 2020, Respondent submitted his second quarter declaration of 2020. This declaration was submitted late. The report was due to the Board no later than July 10, 2020. Respondent identified the location worked as Regional One Health Medical Center, and left the box "hours worked" blank. In the box for the work schedule, Respondent wrote, "call 4-7 days per week," but also added that his assignment at Regional One Health Medical Center had ended.
- 24. On or about August 27, 2020, the Board wrote Respondent seeking clarification of his hours worked during the previous probation reports. Respondent continued to list Regional One Health Medical Center in Tennessee as his primary place of practice, stating that he would call in four to seven days per week. The letter explained that simply calling in is not considering practicing medicine, and that he could not receive credit for practicing medicine unless he was actually on probation in Tennessee, and practicing medicine.
- 25. On or about September 22, 2020, Respondent renewed his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138. Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was not renewed

until two days after he claimed to have been practicing medicine in California at Central California Surgery.

- 26. On or about September 30, 2020, Respondent submitted his third quarter declaration of 2020. Respondent identified a new location worked as Central California Surgery, and wrote that he "started September 2020." In the box for hours worked per quarter Respondent wrote "40 hrs" and in the box for the work schedule, Respondent wrote, "40 hours 8am-6pm."
- 27. On or about September 30, 2020, the Board received a letter from Central California Surgery providing additional information regarding Respondent's 40 hours of time at the surgical practice from September 20 through 26, 2020. The letter stated that Respondent "saw/evaluated patients who were there for pre or post-operative office visits. These patients either had general surgical issues or were in various stages of preparation for or following up after bariatric weight loss surgery."
- 28. On or about October 18, 2020, Respondent submitted a Petition for Early Termination of Probation to the Board under penalty of perjury. Respondent included a narrative statement which among other things, provided detail regarding his periods of non-practice. Respondent explained that he has not worked as a physician at all since his locum tenens assignment in Tennessee ended on February 28, 2019. Respondent stated that the combination of Covid-19 and his disciplinary history prevented him from obtaining any employment.
- 29. On or about December 31, 2020, Respondent's Tennessee Board of Medical Examiners License expired.

### 

- 30. On or about January 12, 2021, Respondent submitted his fourth quarter declaration of 2020. Respondent identified the location worked as "Was Central California Surgery," and in the box for "hours worked" he wrote "was 40 hours." In the box for the work schedule, Respondent wrote, "40 hours 8am-6pm." Respondent later submitted a revised quarterly declaration in which he no longer claimed to practice as a physician and surgeon during this period.
- 31. On or about April 12, 2021, Respondent submitted his Quarterly Report for first quarter of 2021 late. The report was due to the Board no later than April 10, 2021. In the space

for "location worked" Respondent wrote "was Central California Surgery." In the "hours worked" box he wrote "was 40 hours 8am-6pm" and circled the word "was." Respondent left the "work schedule" box blank. Respondent later submitted a revised quarterly declaration in which he no longer claimed to practice as a physician and surgeon during this period.

- 32. On June 24, 2021, the Board contacted California Surgery Center via telephone in an attempt to verify Respondent's employment. California Surgery Center related that Respondent was there during this time-period, but that he was there to observe and did not enter any notes in patient files. The same day, the Board sent Respondent a letter advising him that he could not enter work hours or a work location if he did not in fact work any hours at that location during the monitoring period. The Board directed Respondent to revise and resubmit corrected declarations for the previous two quarters.
- 33. On or about July 12, 2021, Respondent submitted his Quarterly Report for the second quarter of 2021 late. The report was due to the Board no later than July 10, 2021. Despite the previous direction from the Board, Respondent submitted a second quarter declaration for 2021 that claimed he was working in California. Respondent again listed his place of practice as "was Central California Surgery" and in the box for "hours worked" he wrote "was 40/week," circling the word "was." Respondent later submitted a revised quarterly declaration in which he no longer claimed to practice as a physician and surgeon during this period.
- 34. On or about August 16, 2021, the Board sent a letter to California Surgery Center seeking additional substantiation of Respondent's work including a copy of his daily scheduled hours, and a more detailed description of duties during the time period worked. The Board explained that this information was needed to provide Respondent with credit for practicing as physician and surgeon while on probation.
- 35. On or about August 17, 2021, the Board sent Respondent a letter requesting that Respondent submit corrected declarations for the fourth quarter of 2020 and the first quarter of 2021, as outlined in the letter sent to him on June 24, 2021. In addition, the Board requested that he submit a corrected declaration for the second quarter of 2021, as it continued to list a place of

employment and hours worked similar to the prior declarations, when in fact he did not work at the facility as a physician and surgeon during the quarter.

- 36. On or about September 17, 2021, Respondent resubmitted revised quarterly declarations for the fourth quarter of 2020, first and second quarters of 2021. In each of the revised quarterly declarations, Respondent left the "hours worked," "location worked," and "work schedule" boxes blank. In the quarterly declaration for the fourth quarter of 2020, Respondent stated that he "stopped work on 9/30/2020," referring to the work hours claimed at Central California Surgery.
- 37. On or about September 17, 2021, Respondent delivered corrected declarations to the Board for the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of 2020, the first quarter of 2021, and the second quarter of 2021. The corrected declarations do not list any place of employment or claim that he was employed as a physician and surgeon.
- 38. On or about October 12, 2021, Respondent submitted his third-quarter declaration of 2021 late. Respondent left the "hours worked," "location worked," and "work schedule" boxes blank.
- 39. On or about November 3, 2021, the Board contacted California Surgery Center again, having not received a response to the previous letter. Later that day, California Surgery Center responded in writing clarifying that Respondent "saw patients in our office during that time period, but not independently and he did not enter data into the chart. He was observing how we do things in our clinic in preparation to potentially be able to see patients independently in our clinic."
- 40. On or about November 4, 2021, the Board notified Respondent in writing that he was in violation of probation. The Board informed him that his period of non-practice had exceeded 18 months on October 1, 2020, requiring that he successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards' Special Purpose Examination, or at the Board's discretion a clinical competence assessment program prior to resuming the practice of medicine in California. The Board also notified Respondent that he had exceeded a period of non-practice in excess of two years on April 1, 2021, constituting a violation of probation.

41. Respondent was required to be employed as a physician and surgeon while on probation. At the outset of his probation, Respondent moved to Tennessee, and attempted to work out of state prior to being placed on probation in that state. Respondent did work in Tennessee, but only two months, and his practice was prior to being placed on probation in Tennessee. As Respondent was working out of state while not on probation in that state, his practice was still considered a period of non-practice relative to his California probation requirements. Respondent previously claimed that he worked for one week in California, but later retracted that claim and admitted that he did not work as a physician and surgeon again after February 28, 2020. Respondent's period of non-practice on probation exceeded two years, which constitutes a violation of probation.

### SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

### (Failure to Submit Timely Quarterly Declaration)

- 42. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 5, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- 43. Respondent submitted his quarterly declaration for the second quarter of 2020 late, which constitutes a violation of probation.
- 44. Respondent submitted his quarterly declaration for first quarter of 2021 late, which constitutes a violation of probation.
- 45. Respondent submitted his quarterly declaration for second quarter of 2021 late, which constitutes a violation of probation.

### **DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS**

46. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about August 31, 2018, in a prior disciplinary action titled In the Matter of the Accusation Against Denny Mark Schoch, M.D. before the Medical Board of California, in Case No. 800-2014-005107, Respondent's license was revoked, the revocation was stayed, and Respondent was placed on probation for three years with terms and conditions. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- Revoking the probation that was granted by the Medical Board of California in Case No. 800-2014-005107 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138 issued to Respondent Denny Mark Schoch, M.D.;
- Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138, issued to Respondent Denny Mark Schoch, M.D.;
- Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Denny Mark Schoch, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- Ordering Respondent Denny Mark Schoch, M.D. to pay the Medical Board of California the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;
  - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

FEB 0 7 2022 DATED:

Executive Director

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

FR2021305844 95424584

### Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. 800-2014-005107

# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	)	
Donney Monte Cabach, M.D.	)	GN 000 0014 005107
Denny Mark Schoch, M.D.	)	Case No. 800-2014-005107
Physician's and Surgeon's	)	
Certificate No. A 95138	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	
·	)	

## DENIAL BY OPERATION OF LAW PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

No action having been taken on the petition for reconsideration (Amended Application to Correct Mistake or Error In Decision/Stipulated Settlement; Request For Modification), filed by Lawrence S. Giardina, Esq., and the time for action having expired at 5 p.m. on August 31, 2018, the petition is deemed denied by operation of law.

# BEFORE THE . MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	)
Denny Mark Schoch, M.D.	) MBC No. 800-2014-005107
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138 ·	ORDER GRANTING STAY
Octimodio 110. 11 35 150	) (Government Code Section 11521)
R=e=sp"""o=n=d=en=t'	<b></b> )

Lawrence S. Giardina, Esq. on behalf ofrespondent, Denny Mark Schoch, M.D., has filed an Application to Correct Mistake or Error in Decision/Stipulated Settlement; Request for Modification (Application) in this matter with an effective date of August 23, 2018, at 5:00 p.m. The Medical Board of California is considering this a Petition for Reconsideration.

Execution of the decision is stayed until August 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

This stay is granted solely for the purpose of allowing the Board to review th Application/Petition.

DATED: August 23, 2018

Kimberly K' hmeyer

**Executive Director** 

Medical Board of California

# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	)	
Agamst.	)	
	)	
Denny Mark Schoch, M.D.	)	Case No. 800-2014-005107
	)	
Physician's and Surgeon's	)	
Certificate No. A 95138	)	
	)	
Respondent	)	
	>	

<u>DECISION</u>

The attached Stipulation is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order o( the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 23, 2018.

IT IS SO ORDERED: July 24, 2018.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Kristina D. La Chair

Panel B

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL C. BRUMMEL Deputy Attorney General StateBarNo. 236116 Department of Justice 2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 705-2307 Facsimile: (559) 445-5106 E-mail: Michael.Brummel@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		
10		RE THE O OF CALIFORNIA .
11	DEPARTMENT OF O	CONSUMER AFFAIRS
12	1	CALIFORNIA - I
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2014-005107
14	DENNY MARK SCHOCH, M.D.	OAH No. 2017090203
15	c/o dutcher admin services 14252 Culver Drive, Suite 732	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
16	Irvine, CA 92604	DISCIPLINARY.ORDER
17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A95138	
18	. Respondent.	
19		^
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled	
21	proceedings that the following matters are true:	
22	<u>PARTIES</u>	
23	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board	
24	of California (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in	
25	this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Michael C.	
26	Brummel, Deputy Attorney General.	
27	2. Respondent Denny Mark Schoch, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this	
28		
		1

1	proceeding by attorney Lawrence Scott Giardina, Esq., whose address is: Schuering Zimmerman	
2	& Doyle, LLP, 400 University Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95825-6502.	
3	3. On or about April 26, 2006, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	
4	No. A 95138 to Denny Mark Schoch, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's	
5•	Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation	
6	No: 800-2014-005107, and will expire on December 31, 2019, unless renewed.	
7	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
8	4. Accusation No. 800-2014-005107 was filed before the Board, and is currently	
9	pending against Responde t. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were	
0	properly served on Respondent on March 29,2017. Respondent timely filed his Notice of	
. 1	Defense contesting the Accusation.	
.2	5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2014-005107 is attached as Exhibit A arid	
3	incorporated herein by reference.	
4	ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS	
5	6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the	
6	charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2014-005107. Respondent has also carefully rea	
17	fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and	
8	Disciplinary Order.	
9	7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a	
20	hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine	
21	the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right	
22	to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of	
23	documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other	
24	rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.	
25	8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and	
26	every right set forth above.	
27	111	
28	///	

# 

### 

# 

### 

### 

### 

### 

# 

J4

## 

### **CULPABILITY**

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2014-005107, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
- 10. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2014-005107 and that he has thereby subjected his license to disciplinary action.
- 11. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if the Board ever petitions for revocation of probation, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2014-005107 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of that proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California.

### **CONTINGENCY**

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he inay not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following

1

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED. that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95138 issued to Respondent Denny Mark Schoc M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years from the effective date of the Decision and Order on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. EDUCATION COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this 7 Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee 8 9 for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours-10 per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall e aimed at 11 correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to 12 13 the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal oflicensure. Following the 14 completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test 15 Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 16 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
- · 2. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the 17 18 Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the 19 Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to 20 Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, . 21 including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief 22 Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to 23 Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 1.5 24 calendar days; This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier. 25
- 3. SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED PRACTICE

  NURSES. During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and
  advanced practice nurses.-

(30) calendar days.

28.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to teside or to practice,

Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 7. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE.</u> Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 8. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is d fined as aily period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and 'Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Fe.deration of Sti;tte Medical Boards' Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 9fthe current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practic of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationaly term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve

Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing.

- 9. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION.</u> Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not fater than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 10. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 11. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER.</u> Following the effective date of this Decision, if

  -Respondent ceases practicing due to retireinent or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
  the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.

  The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
  determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
  and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
  shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
  designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
  to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
  application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 12. <u>PROBATION MONITORING COSTS.</u> Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of

	California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January JI of each calendar	
2	year.	
3	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>	
4	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully	
5	discussed it with my attorney, Lawrence Scott Giardina, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the	
6	effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated	
7	Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, kno, vingly, and intelligently, and agree to be	
·8	_bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Boa d of California.	
9	$-1$ 1 $\downarrow$	
JO	DATED: 5/23/18	
11	DENN MARK SCHOCH, M.D.  Respondent	
12	1 have read and fully discussed with Respondent Denny Mark Schoch, M.D. the tenns and	
13	conditions and other matters contained in the at ve-Stipulated Settlement and Di cipli ary Order.	
14	I approve its form a d con nt.	
15	DATED: 5 QB	
16	WAWRENCE SCOTT GIARDINA, ESQ. Attorney for Propondent	
17	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>	
18	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby re.spectfully	
19	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.	
20		
21	bated: Respectfully submitted,	
22	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ	
23	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
24		
25	MICHAEL C. BRUMMEL	
26	Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for Complail lant	
27	SA2017303067	
28	95263636.doc	

1	California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar		
2	year.		
3	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>		
4	. I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully		
. 5	discussed it with my attorney, La ence Scott Giardina, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the		
6	effect it will llave on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated		
7	Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be		
8	bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.		
9			
10	DATED:		
11	DENNY MARK SCHOCH, M.D.  Respondent		
12	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Denny Mark Schoch, M.Othe tenns and		
<u>13</u>	_c:;g_mitions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order		
14	I app ove_its form and content.		
15	DATED:.		
16	LAWRENCE SCOTT GIARDINA, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent		
17	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>		
18.	. The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Di iplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
19	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board.of California		
20	Dated: SJ24/20 / g Respectfully submitted,		
21	XAVIER BECERRA		
22	Attorney General of California Af:EXANDRA M. ALVAREZ		
23	m(renemi		
24	movonu		
25 26"	• MICHAEL C. BRUMMEL Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant.		
27 28_	SA2017303067 .95263636.doc		
	o o		

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2014-005107

	FILED.	
1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California  STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
2	ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ SACRAMENTO AOrd 2S 20.1]	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General BY 6?obkJn 5+fulakr: ANALYST MICHAEL C. BRUMMEL	
,4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 236116	
5	California Department of Justice 2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090	
6	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 477-1679	
7	Facsimile: (559) 445-5106 E-mail: Michael.Brummel@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for Complainant.	
9		
10	BEFORE THE . MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA ·	
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 800-2014-005107	
14	Denny Mark Schoch, M.D. c/o Dutcher Admin Services  ACCUSATION	
15	14252 Culver!)rive, Suite 732 Irvine, CA 92604	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	
17	No. A 95138,	
18	Respondent.	
19		
20	Complainap.t alleges:	
21	PARTIES	
22	i. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official	
23	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Departmentpf Consumer	
24.	Affairs (Board).	
25	2. On or about April 26, 2006, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's	
26	Certificate Number A 95138 to Denny Mark Schoch, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and	
27	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and ,effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
28	herein and will expire on December 31, 2017, unless renewed.	
	1	

16.

### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 22 of the Code states:

"Board' as used in any provisions of this Code, refers to the board in which the administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly provided, shall include 'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,' 'examining committee,' 'program,' and 'agency."

- 5. Section 2227 of the. Code states:
- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Qualit: "A Hearing\_ Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
  - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- "(3) Be placed on p obation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon oi:der of the board.
- "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
- "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, ari.d shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9.

14 Patient G.P.

10. On or about February 21, 2014, Patient G.P. sought treatment from Respondent for an vascularization surgery to remove occluded portions of a blood vessel. Patient G.P. presented to Respondent as an 88 year old female with a history including hypertension, coronary.artery dtsease, lung cancer, uterine cancer. On or about February 21, 2014, Respondent performed a stent replacement of the left superficial femoral artery on patient G.P. Patient G.P. experienced increased leg pain following the surgery requiring additional surgical intervention.

Respondent negligently performed a femoral populiteal bypass surgery on patient G.B.

11. On or about March 28, 2014, patient G.P. underwent the intravenous cannulation of the right common femoral artery with percutaneous mechanical thrombectomy of the SFA and.

TPA<sup>3</sup> infusion. Respondent was listed as the assisting surgeon for the procedure, although he dictated the case notes and signed the operative report. Respondent documented in the notes that hewould "bring the patient back in 2 days for thrombolysis TPA recheck 3.30.14. Staff is not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A hematocrit test measures the portion of red blood cells in your blood.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Tissue plasminogen activator (f PA) is a protein involved in the breakdown of blood clots. It is a serine protease found on endothelial cells, the cells that line blood vessels.

· 12

15

· 16

14

17 18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

available tomorrow 3.29.14. Ordinarily I would bring her back no later than 24 hours as studies show duration of therapy is most significant risk factor for bleeding complication. We will run a lower rate of TPA." Patient G.P.'s hematocrit decreased following the procedure from 32% to 22% and she experienced back pagu and tachycardia.

- 12. On or about March 30, 2014, patient G.P. present d with rethronibosis of the left SFA Respondent was listed as the assisting surgeon for the surgical procedure that included plac g two stents in her left SFA and continuation of the TPA. Respondent lowered the concentration/ drip rate of the TPA from the amounts that patient G.P. had received for the two days prior. Patient G.P. returned to the ICU and developed an abdominal distention and a retroperitoneal hematoma of 10 mas measured on the CT scan. Respondent continued the TPA and patient G.P.'s bleeding appeared to stop.
- 13. On or about March 31, 2014, Respondent performed an angiogram and balloon angioplasty of the left SFA on patient G.P. Respondent noted that he chose to make the catheter entry in the right groin higher than normal, into the upper CFA/iliac artery due to the presence of a saphenous vein graft coming off of the right CFA. The anesthesiologist documented in the medical record that patient G.P.'s abdomen was distended., she was hypotensive, diaphoretic with abdominal pain, the hemoglobin had dropped from 9.4 preoperatively to 6.8 and severe heITiorrhage was suspected. The anesthesiologist informed Respondent of patient G.P..-s condition. Upon return from surgery to the ICU a nurse wrote that patient G.P. was diaphoretic, clammy, confused, hypotensive and tachycardic with a firm distended tender abdomen with wideopen normal saline infusion. In Respondent's note, he stated that "the patient returned to the ICU in stable condition intubated, with normotension." After a failed thrombin injection by another physician, patient G.P. required additional surgical intervention. Patient G.P. returned to surgery with Respondent the same day for an angiogram and placement of a covered stent onto the right CFA/iliac arte!Y· Respondent noted that patient G.P. had rethrombosis of the left femoral artery. Respondent performed an open left groin exploration and repair due to patient G.P.'s critical limb ischemia. Respondent performed an open surgical left femoral endarterectomy and open thrombectomy of the left SFA, however, patient G.P. experienced persistent bleeding after the

J3

procedure on patient G.P. Rather than perform a search for the source of patient G.P.'s bleeding, Respondent returned her to surgery fot further TPA infusion on March 30, 2014. Respondent did not aggressively manage patient G.P. with immediate resuscitation with blood, bicarbonate, fresh frozen plasma, platelets and cryoprecipitate after complications from her surgery on March 31, 2014. Respondent did not order and/or did not document that he requested the necessary labs and resuscitation products be available during patient G.P.'s surgical procedure on March 31, 2014. Respondent continued to try and repair the left SFA when he should have ceased interventions on the left side.

- 15. Respondent failed to recognize the hematocrit drop after the March 28; 2014 surgery as a retroperitoneal bleed and stop the TPA, which constitutes a departure from the standard of care.
- 16. Respondent failed to aggressively resuscitate patient G.P. immediately after the March 31, 2014 procedure in the operating room or in the ICU and failed to promptly recognize the major right iliofemoral bleeding pseudoaneurysm and retroperitoneal bleed, which constitutes a departure from the standard of care.
- 17. Respondent negligently performed a left leg femoral endarterectomy and thrombectomy on patient G.P., which constitutes a departure from the standard of care.

Patient S.R.

18 On or about December 13, 2013, patient S.R. present,ed to Respondent as a 70_year
old female with peripheral vascular disease (PVD), light lower extremity ischemia and right
femoral popliteal graft thrombosis. Respondent performed a left femoral cannulation with
arteriogram of the right lower extremity, open thrombectomy of the right femoral popliteal graft
and TPA and stent placement of the popliteal artery. Respondent was unable to navigate the
catheter into patient S.R.'s right femoral popliteal graft requiring open thrombectomy. Patient•
S.R. experienced xtensive intraoperative blood loss. The anesthesiologist estimated patient
S.R.'s blood loss at 2 units _and she required 4 units of blood during the procedure.

19. Respondent performed a surgical thrombectomy procedure on patient S.R. resulting in excessive blood loss, which constitutes a departure from the standard of care.

### Patient C.S.

- 20. On or about November 8, 2013, patient C.S. presented to Respondent as a 77 year old male with chronic leg edema, deep vein thrombosis (DVT), venou s stasis disease and left lower\_
  extremity varicose veins. Res ondent performed a stab phlebectomy of the varicose veins.

  Patient C.S. had chronic edema and engaged varicose veins resulting in extensive intraoperative.
- Patient C.S. had chronic edema and engorged varicose veins resulting in extensive intraoperative blood loss. The anesthesiologist estimated his blood loss at one liter.
  - 21. Respondent performed an open surgical varicose vein procedure on patient C.S. resulting in excessive blood loss, which constitutes a departure from the standard of care.

### Patient M.S.

22. On or\_about December 2, 2013, patient M.S. presented to Respondent as a 62 year old female with a history that included diabetes and severe peripheral vascular disease. Respondent performed a thrombectomy of patient M.S.'s left femoral popliteal graft and common iliac stent and external iliac angioplasty. Respondent performed a pharmacoinechanical thrombectomy of patient M.S.'s right femoral popliteal bypass by way of a left femoral cannulation approach. Respondent instituted TPA thromb<;>lysis and continued transcatheter infusion thrombolysis overnight. Respondent believes that he gave orders to manage the TPA by checking labs every few hours and terminating the TPA if patient M.S.'s fibrinogen levels dropped. Respondent's

orders are not a part of the medical record. Patient M.S.'s labs were not performed and the TPA was not shut off.

- 23. On or about December 3, 2013, patient M.S. returned to the operating room under the care of Respondent. Respondent performed a right femoral popliteal graft and the distal vessels . underwent further thrombectomy and angioplasty stenting. Respondent removed the sheath and wires in the operating room and repaired the left common femoral arteriotomy with a percutaneous Angio-Seal Vascular Closure Device (Angio-Seal). The repair failed resulting in profuse bleeding. Respondent applied manual pressure for approximately twenty minutes in an attempt to stop the bleeding but was ultimately unsuccessful. Respondent then surgically reopened patient M.S.'s left groin and dissected to the left common femoral artery. Respondent identified the hole in patient M.S.'s femoral artery, removed the Angio-Seal device and openeci the artery further to perform surgical endarterectomy and patch angioplasty. Prior to restoring blood flow, patient M.S. lost what the anesthesiologist e/)timated as 3 liters of blood. Respondent estimated patient M.S.'s blood loss during the procedure as 2 liters. Patient M.S. required multiple transfusion of fresh frozen plasma and packed red blood cells.
- 24. On or about December 11, 2013, patient M.S. required an amputation below her right knee.
- 25. On or about January 31, 2014, patient M.S. required further stump revision to her right leg.
- 26. Respondent performed a surgical procedure on patient **M.S.** resulting in excessive blood loss, which constitutes a departure from the standard of care.
- 22 ' ///

• 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

. · 21

- 23 ///
- 24 111
- 25 ///
- 26 11 1
- 27 // /
- 28 ///